IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE EASTERN DIVISION

HERBERT L. ODLE, d/b/a SPORTS)		
CLUB, INC.; SHERILL DOUGLAS;)		
JENIFER MARIE COSIMANO; JANE)		
DOE I; and JANE DOE II,)		
)		
Plaintiffs,)		
)		
VS.)	No. 1:02-1278-T	
)		
DECATUR COUNTY, TENNESSEE;)		
the DECATUR COUNTY)		
COMMISSION; the DECATUR)		
COUNTY, TENNESSEE,)		
ADULT-ORIENTED)		
ESTABLISHMENT BOARD,)		
)		
Defendants,)		
)		
AND)		
)		
the STATE OF TENNESSEE,)		
)		
Intervenor-Defendant.)		
	-		

ORDER GRANTING PARTIAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFFS AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

On October 14, 2003, the court entered an order granting summary judgment in favor of Decatur County, Tennessee; the Decatur County Commission; the Decatur County Adult-Oriented Establishment Board (collectively "the County"); and the State of Tennessee, on

(40)

all of Plaintiffs' First Amendment claims. (See Docket No. 52). Plaintiffs appealed, and on August 26, 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit affirmed in part and reversed in part. See Odle v. Decatur County, No. 03-6532, 2005 U.S. App. LEXIS 18412, at *2 (Aug. 26, 2005). The appellate court affirmed the court's judgment in favor of the State of Tennessee on the ground that the state law in question was not an unconstitutional prior restraint on speech. Id. at *39. However, the court of appeals reversed the judgment in favor of the County Defendants, holding that the challenged county ordinance was substantially overbroad and thus in violation of the First (and Fourteenth) Amendment. Id.

The Sixth Circuit remanded the case for the court to enter judgment in Plaintiffs' favor on the substantial overbreadth claim and also for the court to issue a permanent injunction prohibiting the County Defendants from enforcing the challenged ordinance,³ which is Decatur County, Tn Ordinance entitled "RESOLUTION NO. __ PROHIBITING CERTAIN ACTS, PRACTICES, and/or CONDUCT ON PREMISES LICENSED IN ACCORDANCE WITH T.C.A. SECTION 57-5-105 FOR SALE AND/OR CONSUMPTION OF BEER (May 22, 2000)." (Compl., Ex. B) (the "County Ordinance").

¹The clerk entered judgment in accordance with the October 14, 2003, Order on October 20, 2003. (See Docket No. 53).

²The appellate court's mandate issued on September 19, 2005.

³Odle, 2005 U.S. App. LEXIS, at *39.

Accordingly, judgment will be entered in favor of Plaintiffs on their claim that the County Ordinance is substantially overbroad. It is, furthermore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the Decatur County, Tennessee Defendants are PERMANENTLY ENJOINED from enforcing the unconstitutional County Ordinance. The clerk is directed to enter judgment consistent with this ORDER and PERMANENT INJUNCTION. IT IS SO ORDERED.

TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE September 2005



Notice of Distribution

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 66 in case 1:02-CV-01278 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on September 27, 2005 to the parties listed.

Steven A. Hart OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202--020

Lloyd R. Tatum TATUM AND TATUM P.O. Box 293 Henderson, TN 38340

James Michael Ivey LAW OFFICE OF J. MICHAEL IVEY 300 Tennessee Avenue, South Parsons, TN 38363

Honorable James Todd US DISTRICT COURT